

HIA Redress Board



Public Authority Statutory Equality and Good Relations Duties Annual Progress Report 23-24

Contact:

• Section 75 of the NI Act 1998 and Equality Scheme	Name:	Ciaran Purvis
	Telephone:	02890569139
	Email:	ciaran.purvis@hiaredressni.uk
• Section 49A of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 and Disability Action Plan	As above	☒ (double click to open)
	Name:	
	Telephone:	
	Email:	

Documents published relating to our Equality Scheme can be found at:

<https://www.hiaredressni.uk/publications/equality-scheme>

Signature:

--

This report has been prepared using a template circulated by the Equality Commission.

It presents our progress in fulfilling our statutory equality and good relations duties and implementing Equality Scheme commitments and Disability Action Plans.

This report reflects progress made between April 2023 and March 2024

PART A – Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 and Equality Scheme

Section 1: Equality and good relations outcomes, impacts and good practice

- 1** In 2023-24, please provide **examples** of key policy/service delivery developments made by the public authority in this reporting period to better promote equality of opportunity and good relations; and the outcomes and improvements achieved.

Please relate these to the implementation of your statutory equality and good relations duties and Equality Scheme where appropriate.

The Redress Board Equality Scheme underwent a period of public consultation in July 2021 and was approved by the Equality Commission on 24 November 2021. The Equality Action Plan was endorsed by the Redress Board Management Board who continue to support the Redress Board's commitment to the effective fulfilment of Section 75 Duties, ensuring that all people, regardless of gender, race, sexuality, or ability are treated fairly and equally. The published Redress Board Equality Action Plan covers the period 2022-2026. An encompassing commitment to equality is also set out in the HIA Redress Board Business Plan for 2023-24.

It is important to note that majority of legislative, policy and funding responsibilities for the Redress Board resides with the Executive Office. However, the Redress Board is responsible for the discharge of its' statutory functions, within the wider end to end redress scheme, which are limited to the receipt and processing of applications, determining applications, issuing instructions to make payments of compensation to victims and survivors of historical institutional abuse, and the compelling of evidence where it is considered necessary in the interests of justice to do so.

This is the third Redress Board Annual Progress Report to the Equality Commission and is submitted and published under the guidance of the Honourable Mr Justice Fowler President of the Redress Board.

- 2 Please provide **examples** of outcomes and/or the impact of **equality action plans/** measures in 2023-24 (or append the plan with progress/examples identified).

The Redress Board Equality Action Plan covers the period 2022-2026. Commitments to equality plan are set out in the HIA Redress Board Business Plan for 2022-26 (see theme 3). The Redress Board ensured appropriate emphasis was placed on enhancing staff knowledge and capacity around equality, diversity and inclusion. In this reporting year the Redress Board appointed a Well Being Champion within its administrative team. This ensured that staff were provided with the latest NICS guidance on health and wellbeing issues including opportunities to attend seminars that raise awareness of medical and welfare issues that can impact themselves, colleagues, stakeholders and members of the public. The role of the Champion is to ensure staff have new opportunities to participate in the wider NI Civil Service (NICS) and Department of Justice (DoJ) programmes and events, which promote the above.

Introduction to Section 75 – this is included in staff induction packages which take place within 2 weeks of joining the HIA Redress Board Administration Team. This is mandatory training and is also undertaken by all staff to maintain an awareness of section 75 groups and of our responsibilities within it and our disability action plan.

Unconscious Bias – this is included in staff induction packages and was completed by all staff. See below.

Personal Resilience & Trauma Awareness Training – in 2022-23 reporting year all staff attended the above courses to promote good personal mental health and to better understand the challenges that some applicant's may be facing in relation to mental health and other wellbeing and social inclusion challenges. Feedback from the training was very positive. Staff have been given a further opportunity to avail of this training again which will take place early in the next reporting year.

Disability Awareness for Frontline staff - was undertaken by all staff to maintain an awareness of the issues at hand.

Positive Mental Health Toolkit for All Staff (e-Learning) (nical.gov.uk)

Just a Minute (JAM) Training - The JAM Card allows people including staff with a communication difficulty to inform others that they need Just A Minute of patience and understanding. The JAM Card is a simple and discreet way of letting someone you want to talk to, or who wants to talk to you, know that you need a little more time. 24 staff have undertaken this training in the reporting period.

Supporting people who are deaf or have hearing loss – Our Equality Officer attended the above training event and disseminated the training material to all staff.

Compliance with the above training is undertaken by our Corporate Governance team and reported to the Redress Board Senior Management Team.

Accessibility of Information – An Accessibility Audit was completed by an external body in 2022-2023 business year and a series of recommendations were made in January 2023. In this reporting year all recommendations were addressed and incorporated into

the HIA Redress Board's policies and good governance.

Communication and Engagement –

- *The Redress Board continues to work in close co-operation with **Victim & Survivors Service (VSS) HIA Health and Wellbeing Case Manager** to promote the services available from VSS to assist applicants to the Redress Board. These support services include access to dedicated health and wellbeing caseworkers, counselling, complementary therapies, disability aids, persistent pain and a range of other social and welfare support. In this reporting year Applicants were consistently sign-posted to these services in almost all of our correspondence and literature and through one-to-one contact. The Redress Board is proactive in managing requests for assistance, advice from VSS and encourages on going feedback from its client base to ensure equality and accessibility to our services at all times.*
- *Redress Board officials continued to meet with The Executive Office Director of Good Relations & Inclusion on a quarterly basis to discuss the application of same across all aspects of HIA Redress Board practices.*
- *Law Society Redress Process Information Event – The Redress Board further promoted these available services to solicitors through a presentation of the Best Practice Guide for Solicitors to the Law Society, highlighting the need for trauma informed practice when managing applications to the Redress Board which focus specifically on historical institutional abuse in Northern Ireland. The President participated in this event and highlighted the challenges and vulnerabilities faced by vulnerable applicants and encouraged solicitors to direct applicants, especially those with vulnerabilities, to the expert support services provided by VSS and their partners.*
- *The Critical Friend Group comprising key stakeholders involved in the end-to-end redress process including the Redress Board, continued to liaise via co-design workshops which incorporated the effective communications role of all stakeholders involved in the Redress Process. The Critical Friend Group included representatives from the Executive Office (TEO), the Commissioner for Survivors of institutional child abuse and Victim Support Services and contributes to the maintenance and improvement of good relations with all victims and survivors who wish to apply to avail of redress support service and/or for compensation for abuse suffered while a child in an institution in Northern Ireland between 1922 and 1995. Any statutory compliant recommendations within the remit of the Redress Board and overseen by the Critical Friend Group were fully implemented by March 2023. The Board continued in this reporting year to contribute to the delivery of the objectives of the Group and to maintain communication channels with all its stakeholders. This included contribution to the delivery of the ongoing communication strategy with the public about the parameters of the Redress Scheme and in particular this year raising awareness of the final date to submit applications being 2nd April 2025 via a second leaflet drop to all households in Northern Ireland.*

•

PART A

- *Communications and invitations from DoJ to raise awareness of to support LGBTQ+ events including Belfast Pride and Mela continue to be circulated to all staff within the Redress Board.*

PART A

- 3** Has the **application of the Equality Scheme** commitments resulted in any **changes** to policy, practice, procedures, and/or service delivery areas during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☒ No (go to Q.4) ☐ Not applicable (go to Q.4)

Please provide any details and examples:

- 3a** With regard to the change(s) made to policies, practices or procedures and/or service delivery areas, what **difference was made, or will be made, for individuals**, i.e. the impact on those according to Section 75 category?

Please provide any details and examples:

- 3b** What aspect of the Equality Scheme prompted or led to the change(s)? *(tick all that apply)*

☐ As a result of the organisation's screening of a policy *(please give details):*

☐ As a result of what was identified through the EQIA and consultation exercise *(please give details):*

☐ As a result of analysis from monitoring the impact *(please give details):*

☐ As a result of changes to access to information and services *(please specify and give details):*

☐ Other *(please specify and give details):*

Section 2: Progress on Equality Scheme commitments and action plans/measures

Arrangements for assessing compliance (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 2)

- 4 Were the Section 75 statutory duties integrated within job descriptions during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

- ☒ Yes, organisation wide
- ☐ Yes, some departments/jobs
- ☐ No, this is not an Equality Scheme commitment
- ☐ No, this is scheduled for later in the Equality Scheme, or has already been done
- ☐ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

A commitment to Section 75 statutory duties was included in the HIARB Business Plan for 2022/23 and within relevant job descriptions. The out workings of this commitment forms part of the staff discussion on their annual PDPs and PPAs.

- 5 Were the Section 75 statutory duties integrated within performance plans during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

- ☒ Yes, organisation wide
- ☐ Yes, some departments/jobs
- ☐ No, this is not an Equality Scheme commitment
- ☐ No, this is scheduled for later in the Equality Scheme, or has already been done
- ☐ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

Relevant job descriptions had statutory duties integrated within them for this reporting year and for 2023/24.

- 6 In the 2023-24 reporting period were **objectives/ targets/ performance measures** relating to the Section 75 statutory duties **integrated** into corporate plans, strategic planning and/or operational business plans? *(tick all that apply)*

- ☐ Yes, through the work to prepare or develop the new corporate plan

PART A

- ☒ Yes, through organisation wide annual business planning
- ☐ Yes, in some departments/jobs
- ☐ No, these are already mainstreamed through the organisation's ongoing corporate plan
- ☐ No, the organisation's planning cycle does not coincide with this 2022-23 report
- ☐ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

Key objectives were included in the 2022/23 Business Plan and individual job descriptions were updated to include operational delivery objectives.

Equality action plans/measures

7 Within the 2023-24 reporting period, please indicate the **number** of:

Actions completed:

0

Actions ongoing:

0

Actions to commence:

n/a

Please provide any details and examples (*in addition to question 2*):

n/a

8 Please give details of changes or amendments made to the equality action plan/measures during the 2023-24 reporting period (*points not identified in an appended plan*):

none

9 In reviewing progress on the equality action plan/action measures during the 2023-24 reporting period, the following have been identified: (*tick all that apply*)

- ☐ Continuing action(s), to progress the next stage addressing the known inequality
- ☐ Action(s) to address the known inequality in a different way
- ☐ Action(s) to address newly identified inequalities/recently prioritised inequalities
- ☐ Measures to address a prioritised inequality have been completed

Arrangements for consulting (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 3)

10 Following the initial notification of consultations, a targeted approach was taken – and

consultation with those for whom the issue was of particular relevance: *(tick one box only)*

☒ All the time

☐ Sometimes

☐ Never

- 11** Please provide any **details and examples of good practice** in consultation during the 2023-24 reporting period, on matters relevant (e.g. the development of a policy that has been screened in) to the need to promote equality of opportunity and/or the desirability of promoting good relations:

The Redress Board implemented a 12-month advance notification plan in this reporting year regarding the closing date of the Redress Board compensation scheme. The last date applications can statutorily be accepted is 2 April 2025. The aim being to ensure all potential applicants to the Redress Board, the legal profession, COSICA and VSS were aware of this crucial date. The 12 month notification period aims to provide all potential applicants who have not yet submitted an application to the Board with an equal opportunity to do so providing sufficient time for example to access essential support services to help them identify and manage the personal impact of the application process; seek support to document their lived experience into an application; to obtain supporting medical evidence: or to appoint a solicitor to represent them; and also to engage with COSICA who have a statutory role to promote the interests of any person who suffered abuse while a child and while resident in an institution at some time between 1922 and 1995. The advance notification plan included placing information notices on our webpage and hard copy forms, on the solicitors on-line portal and in correspondence to the legal profession. Our routine templates and bespoke correspondence were also amended and relevant processes to ensure no applicants were inadvertently disadvantaged as a result of the scheme closing in April 2025.

- 12** In the 2023-24 reporting period, given the consultation methods offered, which consultation methods were **most frequently used by consultees**: *(tick all that apply)*

☒ Face to face meetings

☒ Focus groups

☒ Written documents with the opportunity to comment in writing

☐ Questionnaires

☐ Information/notification by email with an opportunity to opt in/out of the consultation

☐ Internet discussions

☐ Telephone consultations

☐ Other *(please specify)*:

PART A

Please provide any details or examples of the uptake of these methods of consultation in relation to the consultees' membership of particular Section 75 categories:

- 13** Were any awareness-raising activities for consultees undertaken, on the commitments in the Equality Scheme, during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

See above

- 14** Was the consultation list reviewed during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable – no commitment to review

Arrangements for assessing and consulting on the likely impact of policies (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 4)

[n/a]

- 15** Please provide the **number** of policies screened during the year *(as recorded in screening reports)*:

0

- 16** Please provide the **number of assessments** that were consulted upon during 2023-24:

0	Policy consultations conducted with screening assessment presented.
0	Policy consultations conducted with an equality impact assessment (EQIA) presented.
0	Consultations for an EQIA alone.

- 17** Please provide details of the **main consultations** conducted on an assessment (as described above) or other matters relevant to the Section 75 duties:

As part of a holistic review of the role of all stakeholders in the Redress Process relating to historical institutional abuse in Northern Ireland, the Redress Board has consulted with key stakeholders (via the Critical Friend Group) on the presentation /content of the Redress Board website and application form in terms of accessibility to all groups. This took place from April 2022 to January 2023.

Outside of the Critical Friend Group the Redress Board also meets regularly with the VSS HIA Health and Wellbeing Case Manager for informed feedback from victims & survivors.

To date we have received no complaints from a member of the public about the accessibility of material on our website. An independent accessibility audit of the website was also conducted in January 2023 by an external body and all outcome recommendations designed to improve accessibility for all were put into action in the 2023/24 reporting year.

- 18** Were any screening decisions (or equivalent initial assessments of relevance) reviewed following concerns raised by consultees? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☐ No concerns were raised ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

Arrangements for publishing the results of assessments (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 4)

- 19** Following decisions on a policy, were the results of any EQIAs published during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

Arrangements for monitoring and publishing the results of monitoring (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 4)

- 20** From the Equality Scheme monitoring arrangements, was there an audit of existing information systems during the 2023-24 reporting period? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☐ No, already taken place
☐ No, scheduled to take place at a later date ☒ Not applicable

Please provide any details:

- 21** In analysing monitoring information gathered, was any action taken to change/review any policies? *(tick one box only)*

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Please provide any details and examples:

- 22** Please provide any details or examples of where the monitoring of policies, during the 2023-24 reporting period, has shown changes to differential/adverse impacts previously assessed:

- 23** Please provide any details or examples of monitoring that has contributed to the availability of equality and good relations information/data for service delivery planning or policy development:

As previously noted, the Redress Board implemented a 12-month advance notification plan regarding the closing date of the Redress Board compensation scheme. The last date applications can statutorily be accepted is 2 April 2025. The aim being to ensure all potential applicants to the Redress Board, the legal profession, COSICA and VSS were aware of this crucial date. This service delivery planning model aims to raise awareness across members of the public and stakeholders alike of the finite period remaining in legislation for potential applicants to prepare a fully formed application and submit it to the Board with sufficient time to access essential support services to help them identify and manage the personal impact of the application process; seek support to document their lived experience into an application; to obtain supporting medical evidence should they chose to do so: or to appoint a solicitor to represent them; and also to engage with COSICA who have a statutory role to promote the interests of any person who suffered abuse while a child and while resident in an institution at some time between 1922 and 1995. The advance notification plan included placing information notices on our webpage and hard copy forms, on the solicitor's on-line portal and in correspondence to the legal profession. Our routine templates and bespoke correspondence were also amended and relevant processes to ensure no applicants were inadvertently disadvantaged as a result of the scheme closing in April 2025.

Staff Training (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 5)

- 24** Please report on the activities from the training plan/programme (section 5.4 of the Model Equality Scheme) undertaken during 2023-24, and the extent to which they met the training objectives in the Equality Scheme.

Objectives met: Staff within the Redress Board undertook refresher mandatory training on the Equality and Diversity and Diversity and Inclusion as required by the Department of Justice training policy via NICAL training portal. Over 70 e-learning courses were taken across the following subjects;

Introduction to Diversity and Inclusion

Equality and Diversity Essentials

Disability Awareness for Frontline staff

Unconscious bias

Active listening

Introduction to Section 75

LGBTQ+ and Inclusion

Conflicts of interest

Customer Care

New staff members are provided with Induction Training within the first week of employment which includes their and the Redress Board's responsibilities under the Equality Scheme and Section 75 groups.

Personal Resilience & Trauma Awareness Training – **all staff** have been offered refresher training on the above courses to promote good personal mental health and to better understand the challenges that applicant's may be facing in relation to mental health and other wellbeing and social inclusion challenges. This will be offered in this reporting year.

Personal Resilience & Trauma Awareness Training – **7 panel members** have also attended the above courses to promote good personal mental health and to better understand the challenges that some applicant's may be facing in relation to mental health and other wellbeing and social inclusion challenges.

Just a Minute (JAM) Training - The JAM Card allows people including staff with a communication difficulty to inform others that they need Just A Minute of patience and understanding. The JAM Card is a simple and discreet way of letting someone you want to talk to, or who wants to talk to you, know that you need a little more time. 23 members of staff have undertaken this training in the reporting period.

- 25** Please provide **any examples** of relevant training shown to have worked well, in that participants have achieved the necessary skills and knowledge to achieve the stated objectives:

As above. Training has been tailored to the specific objectives and statutory commitments of the Redress Board. There is also an awareness that applicants must be communicated with in a sensitive way to reduce the risk of re-trauma but also to communicate at the appropriate level. As such staff are more sensitive to the language and style used to ensure all applicants can access our services and understand the process better and the

legislative obligations on them.

Guidance on the importance of independent panels adopting trauma informed practices when determining applications was provided to all members by the Chair of the Training and Insight Committee in January 2024. This included the use of sensitive language used in Statement of Reasons, which is used to convey the decision of the panel in every case to the applicant. This practice aims to reduce the risk of re-traumatisation for applicants engaging with the Redress Board. All new panel members received induction training in July and August 2023 which focused on trauma aware behaviour and best practice. All successful panel members are professionally qualified individuals who meet the strict eligibility criteria of having relevant experience in managing trauma in a range of environment/circumstances. In addition this year, the President of the Redress Board, a serving High Court Judge of significant experience in managing trauma related casework, adopted a revised Oral Hearing Protocol in January 2024 for those applicants who are required to present their evidence in person to the panel. This new protocol introduced tailored trauma informed procedures across the hearing itself, the environment and interactions that applied to the panel, Redress Board staff, the applicant, the legal profession, and witnesses in attendance.

Public Access to Information and Services (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 6)

- 26** Please list **any examples** of where monitoring during 2023-24, across all functions, has resulted in action and improvement in relation **to access to information and services**:

Through attendance at the aforementioned Critical Friend Group, the Redress Board officials participated in the development of a holistic customer journey map that would better inform potential applicants of the Redress Scheme as a whole including where and when support could be provided to all applicants. In addition, a revised publicity leaflet was designed to be posted to every household in Northern Ireland which also included details of the statutory closing date of the scheme to ensure the public were fully aware the scheme would close in April 2025.

An accessibility audit was also conducted by an external provider within the reporting period and a number of recommendations for improvements were made. Those accepted recommendations were all implemented during the 2023/24 reporting period.

Complaints (Model Equality Scheme Chapter 8)

- 27** How many complaints **in relation to the Equality Scheme** have been received during 2023-24?

Insert number here:

0

Please provide any details of each complaint raised and outcome:

Section 3: Looking Forward

28 Please indicate when the Equality Scheme is due for review:

November 2026

29 Are there areas of the Equality Scheme arrangements (screening/consultation/training) your organisation anticipates will be focused upon in the next reporting period? *(please provide details)*

- Responding to any recommendations to improve accessibility to the Redress Application Scheme
- Ongoing staff and panel training
- The closure of the Redress Board to new applications on 2 April 2025.,

30 In relation to the advice and services that the Commission offers, what **equality and good relations priorities** are anticipated over the next reporting period? *(please tick any that apply)*

- ☐ Employment
- ☐ Goods, facilities and services
- ☐ Legislative changes
- ☒ Organisational changes/ new functions

/nothing specific, more of the same

- ☐ Other (please state):

PART B - Section 49A of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (as amended) and Disability Action Plans

1. Number of action measures for this reporting period that have been:

3

Fully achieved

0

Partially achieved

n/a

Not achieved

2. Please outline below details on all actions that have been fully achieved in the reporting period.

2 (a) Please highlight what **public life measures** have been achieved to encourage disabled people to participate in public life at National, Regional and Local levels:

Level	Public Life Action Measures	Outputs ⁱ	Outcomes / Impact ⁱⁱ
National ⁱⁱⁱ	n/a	n/a	n/a
Regional ^{iv}	As an ALB the recruitment to the Redress Board of non-judicial panel members Board is a matter for TEO, administrative staff a matter for DoJ and judicial members a matter for NI Judicial Appointments Commission and the Office of the Lady Chief Justice. The Redress Board had agreed that the values of Equality, Diversity and Inclusion are stipulated in the relevant Candidate Information	Suitably informed Candidate Information Booklets. In this document it was recognised women, people with a disability, young people and those from ethnic minorities, are currently under-represented on public bodies in NI. We also sought assurance that recruitments and appointment	Judicial, non-judicial members and staff members who meet the reported under representation groups were provided with equal opportunity to have been recruited during this period.

PART B

	Booklets for each of the roles.	bodies operated the Guaranteed Interview Scheme for applicants with a disability.	
Local ^v	Staff and panel members with disabilities are deployed in the HIA Redress Board. The Disability Action Plan is in place and will be reviewed in the 2024/25 reporting period.	Using remote access technology, staff with disabilities have been fully deployed in the production of key documents, which support the daily function of Redress Board panels.	This action has positively contributed to the achievement of objectives and statutory obligations of the Redress Board throughout the reporting year.

2(b) What **training action measures** were achieved in this reporting period?

	Training Action Measures	Outputs	Outcome / Impact
1	Mandatory training is in place for all staff and opportunities to attend specific NICS DoJ awareness sessions are promoted and provided.	Training delivered on :- Awareness of unconscious bias Disability Awareness for frontline staff Section 75 groups JAM training, Deaf awareness training	Wider appreciation of the barriers to applicants and staff members of the HIA Redress Board who are from a very diverse range of communities and backgrounds across Northern Ireland.

2(c) What Positive attitudes **action measures** in the area of **Communications** were achieved in this reporting period?

PART B

	Communications Action Measures	Outputs	Outcome / Impact
1	n/a	n/a	n/a

2 (d) What action measures were achieved to '**encourage others**' to promote the two duties:

	Encourage others Action Measures	Outputs	Outcome / Impact
1	As an ALB the recruitment of non-judicial panel members is a matter for TEO, administrative staff a matter for DoJ and judicial members a matter for NI Judicial Appointments Commission and the Office of the Lady Chief Justice. However, the Redress Board has requested that the values of Equality, Diversity and Inclusion are stipulated in the Candidate Information Booklets for each of the roles.	Reference to the Guaranteed Interview Scheme for those with a disability included in appropriate recruitment literature. The Redress Board have advised our recruitment and appointment bodies of the specific requirements of the Redress Board and have collaborated on adapting work processes though the use of specialist enabling technologies and administrative adjustments to accommodate disabilities we are made aware of.	Staff and panel members positively contributed towards the achievement of the Redress Board objectives set out in the business plan for the reporting period.
2	To raise awareness with staff regarding the Disability Discrimination Act and Disability Action Plan, through internal	Highlighted at Senior Management Team Meetings and staff directed to relevant articles and training modules.	Raising awareness at team brief and 11 staff completing the DoJ Disability Awareness for Front line staff training module will have assisted staff in undertaking their daily administrative

PART B

	communications channels.		functions.
--	--------------------------	--	------------

2 (e) Please outline **any additional action measures** that were fully achieved other than those listed in the tables above:

	Action Measures fully implemented (other than Training and specific public life measures)	Outputs	Outcomes / Impact
1	n/a	n/a	n/a

3. Please outline what action measures have been **partly achieved** as follows:

	Action Measures partly achieved	Milestones/ Outputs	Outcomes/Impacts	Reasons not fully achieved
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

4. Please outline what action measures **have not been achieved** and the reasons why.

	Action Measures not met	Reasons
1	The Redress Board has not participated in the work placement scheme	An opportunity has not yet been provided by the DOJ

PART B

5. What **monitoring tools** have been put in place to evaluate the degree to which actions have been effective / develop new opportunities for action?

(a) Qualitative

Bi annual reviews of current working arrangements with staff members to ensure they remain supportive or need further adaptations.

(b) Quantitative

- Bi annual reviews of performance standards against agreed objectives to ensure working arrangements are proving effective.
- Performance Indicators relating to staff training - the numbers of staff who have completed the relevant training.
- Performance Indicators relating to public appointments.

6. As a result of monitoring progress against actions has your organisation either:

- made any **revisions** to your plan during the reporting period or
- taken any **additional steps** to meet the disability duties which were **not outlined in your original** disability action plan / any other changes?

If yes, please outline below:

	Revised/Additional Action Measures	Performance Indicator	Timescale
1	n/a	n/a	n/a

7. Do you intend to make any further **revisions to your plan** in light of your organisation's annual review of the plan? If so, please outline proposed changes?

Following approval of the Redress Board Equality Scheme in November 2021, the Disability Action Plan was issued for public consultation, which closed in March 2022 with no comments. The Redress Board has published its' approved Disability Action Plan.

ⁱ **Outputs** – defined as act of producing, amount of something produced over a period, processes undertaken to implement the action measure e.g. Undertook 10 training sessions with 100 people at customer service level.

PART B

ⁱⁱ **Outcome / Impact** – what specifically and tangibly has changed in making progress towards the duties? What impact can directly be attributed to taking this action?

Indicate the results of undertaking this action e.g. Evaluation indicating a tangible shift in attitudes before and after training.

ⁱⁱⁱ **National** : Situations where people can influence policy at a high impact level e.g. Public Appointments

^{iv} **Regional**: Situations where people can influence policy decision making at a middle impact level

^v **Local** : Situations where people can influence policy decision making at lower impact level e.g. one-off consultations, local fora.